

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/900,272	07/06/2001	Tao Chen	010368	7587
23696 OLIA I COMM	7590 03/20/2007 TINCORPORATED		EXAMINER	
QUALCOMM INCORPORATED 5775 MOREHOUSE DR. SAN DIEGO, CA 92121			MARCELO, MELVIN C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		·	2616	
		1		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/20/2007	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

us-docketing@qualcomm.com kascanla@qualcomm.com t\_ssadik@qualcomm.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/900,272	CHEN, TAO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Melvin Marcelo	2616		

The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence ad	dress
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 March 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PPLICATION IN CONDIT	TION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as filing wing replies: (1) an ame otice of Appeal (with app	a Notice of Appeal. To avoid ab indment, affidavit, or other evide leal fee) in compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing dat	e of the final rejection		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	later than SIX MONTHS fro (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b	m the mailing date of the final rejec	ction.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	e on which the petition unde xtension and the correspon- shortened statutory period er than three months after th	ding amount of the fee. The appropriate for reply originally set in the final O	oriate extension fee ffice action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external and the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	ension thereof (37 CFR 4	41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of f	iling a brief, will not be entered	because
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	onsideration and/or sear		٠
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be		naterially reducing or simplifying	g the issues for
appeal; and/or			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	, -	of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.			A (DTOL 224)
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		e of Non-Compliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		and the state of the second	
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>			•
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proof the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-22</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections ry and was not earlier pr	under appeal and/or appellant f resented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d	fails to provide a )(1).
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the cla	aims after entry is below or atta	ched.
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered be	ut does NOT place the a	application in condition for allow	ance because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No	(s)	1
13.  Other:		MM	1/2
		Melvin Marcelo Primary Examine	r

Art Unit: 2616

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's arguments are based on the new claim language "to transmit data based on a forthcoming event" which would require further consideration since the final rejection is still applicable to the current claims. With respect to the current claims, applicant's argument that Chen's schedule is based on a present request is not persuasive since a skilled artisan would have been motivated to modify Chen's schedule to incorporate future requests (Criss's teachings of pre-scheduled transmission for remote stations) for the reason that Chen teaches to classify all remote user transmissions as either unscheduled or scheduled and a pre-scheduled transmission would have been classified as scheduled.